

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,858	08/18/2003		Katherine M. Aldred	3669		
	7590	08/15/2006		EXAMINER		
Katherine M			GEORGE, KONATA M			
51 Birch Stree Saugus, MA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616		
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/642,858		ALDRED, KATHERINE M.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Konata M. George		1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. atutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMM (i(a). In no event, however, not apply and will expire SIX (6) tause the application to become	IUNICATION may a reply be time MONTHS from to	ely filed he mailing date of this o o (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requiremen	t.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 20</u>	<u>03</u> is/are: a	ı)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to	o by the Examine	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the di	rawing(s) be held in at	peyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required if the dra	wing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the atta	ched Office	Action or form P1	ΓO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priorit	y documents have t	peen receive	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation								
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list o	f the certified copies	s not received	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Inten	view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Pape	r No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/\$B/08)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/642,858 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Drawings

1. The drawing(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.184 or 1.152 are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandrasekaran (US 4,286,592) in view of Tawashi (US 5,648,101).

Applicants' claims a transdermal patch comprising a drug reservoir layer, a ratecontrolling membrane secured to the reservoir layer and a contact adhesive and the reservoir contains a hematinic substance. Application/Control Number: 10/642,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art

(MPEP §2141.01)

Figure 1 of Chandrasekaran discloses a transdermal device comprising a backing layer, a drug reservoir layer composed of a drug dispersed in a carrier, a contact adhesive layer and a release liner layer (col. 2, lines 54-60). The backing layer can comprise an aluminized polyester film and the coating layer comprising siliconized polyester (example 1, col. 4, lines 38-39, 47-48 and example 2, col. 5, lines 15-16, 22-23). The drug reservoir can comprise silicone-based carriers or carriers made from mixtures of mineral oil and polyisobutenes (col. 3, lines 35-36). It is taught by example 1 that the transdermal delivery device is at least 0.1 mm thick.

Tawashi teaches in example 9, column 10, lines 11-19, a transdermal patch comprising a cellulose matrix impregnated with a ferrous sulfate solution.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims
(MPEP §2141.02)

The prior art reference of Chandrasekaran does not teach the hematinic substance as described in claims 2 and 14 or using the device to treat iron deficiency.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,858

Art Unit: 1616

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Tawashi in the transdermal patch of Chandrasekaran. Chandrasekaran is silent with respect to the preferred drug; therefore, it is the position of the examiner that any drug can be employed in the invention. Tawashi is being replied upon to teach the ferrous sulfate can be formulated into a transdermal patch. The expected result of combining the teachings would be transdermal device comprising drug reservoir layer, a rate-controlling membrane secured to the reservoir layer and a contact adhesive and a hematinic substance such as ferrous sulfate. Using the device to treat iron deficiency would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as it is common practice to use ferrous sulfate as a treatment of iron deficiencies.

Conclusion

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,858 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is 571-

272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM Monday to

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at 571-272-0646. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have question on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konata M. George Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Johann Richter, Ph.D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600